

## **Responses for CPC00487**

### Detailed Level Impact Assessment of P183

#### P183 - Additional Mechanisms for Obtaining a valid Change of Supplier Read

<b>Carried out by</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>United Utilities I &amp; C Solutions</b> Michelle Derbyshire	P183 does not have any impact upon United Utilities as MOP trading under Mpid UUNL
<b>Scottish and Southern Energy; Southern Electric; Keadby Generation Ltd; Medway Power Ltd; SSE Energy Supply Ltd; SSE Generation Ltd; and Scottish Hydro-Electric Power Distribution Ltd;</b> Cher Harri	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>We believe that this proposal will have a beneficial impact both on our Supply business and on the Settlements process as a whole. It not only increases the potential sources of meter readings available on Change of Supplier, but it should also reduce the number of CoS readings subsequently disputed by the old Supplier.</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?; and</p> <p><b>We would like to implement this change in November 2005. This is consistent with other CTP proposals.</b></p>
<b>EDF Energy, Supplier Response</b> Dave Morton	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>Potential system and process changes. Final scope will depend upon actual obligations placed on each party arising from this modification</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?</p> <p><b>Worst case would require 6 months notification.</b></p>

<p><b>IMServ Europe</b> Stuart Scott</p>	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>The proposed Modification would have a major impact on us as a NHHDC. Our NHHDC system would require a code change to accept the new code of 'O' and also to be able to prioritise the way in which the reads are validated.</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?; and</p> <p><b>We would require at least 6 months notice due to resources and the changing and testing of the code. The CoS Process is the core of any DC system any change to it will require months of testing to make sure that the process is not affected.</b></p>
<p><b>Npower Ltd, Npower Direct Ltd, Npower Yorkshire Ltd, Npower Yorkshire Supply Ltd</b> Roslyn Bucknall</p>	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>This proposal must be considered as part of a far larger package involving sweeping changes to many areas including the MRA. We expect the impact of this suite of changes to be major, costing seven figures and at present we feel there are few discernable, identifiable benefits associated with this.</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?</p> <p><b>The proposals have yet to be base-lined and so a comprehensive estimate of the timescales involved to implement these changes is difficult. At this stage we feel that anything under nine months would be unrealistic and that if any significant obstacles were encountered it could be longer.</b></p> <p>Any other comments:</p> <p><b>As previously discussed, our I&amp;C side of the business favour a non-mandatory approach to these proposed changes as the number and nature of the sites that they would be applicable to suggest that a manual process would be more efficient.</b></p>

<p><b>Npower Northern Ltd,</b>  <b>Npower Northern Supply Ltd</b>  Margaret Brunton</p>	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>This proposal must be considered as part of a far larger package involving sweeping changes to many areas including the MRAs. We expect the impact of this suite of changes to be major, costing seven figures and at present we feel there are few discernable, identifiable benefits associated with this.</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?</p> <p><b>The proposals have yet to be base-lined and so a comprehensive estimate of the timescales involved to implement these changes is difficult. At this stage we feel that anything under nine months would be unrealistic and that if any significant obstacles were encountered it could be longer.</b></p> <p>Any other comments:</p> <p><b>As previously discussed, our I&amp;C side of the business favour a non-mandatory approach to these proposed changes as the number and nature of the sites that they would be applicable to suggest that a manual process would be more efficient.</b></p>
<p><b>AccuRead Ltd</b>  Paul Pitchford</p>	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>It would require software development and changes to procedure.</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?</p> <p><b>First estimates indicate at least six months from notification date.</b></p> <p>If this Modification is not applicable to your organisation, please indicate why (e.g. proposed changes do not apply to Party Agents)'.   <b>It is applicable.</b></p>

<p> <b>E.ON UK plc</b>  <b>Powergen Retail Ltd</b>  <b>Citigen (London) Ltd</b>  <b>Cottam Development Centre Ltd</b>  <b>Enizade Ltd</b>  <b>E.ON UK Drakelow Ltd</b>  <b>E.ON UK High Marnham Ltd</b>  <b>E.ON UK Ironbridge Ltd</b>  <b>Midlands Gas Ltd</b>  <b>Ownlabel Energy Ltd</b>  <b>Severn Trent Energy Ltd</b>  <b>TXU Europe (AHG) Ltd</b>  <b>TXU Europe (AHGD) Ltd</b>  <b>TXU Europe (AH Online) Ltd</b>  <b>Western Gas Ltd</b>  Edward Coleman </p>	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>This modification will have a process impact on our organization which has been rated as low.</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?; and</p> <p><b>Not before the final release of 2005</b></p> <p>Any other comments:</p> <p><b>A more comprehensive response has been provided via the P183 Consultation process invoked by the Standing Modification Group.</b></p>
<p> <b>SAIC Ltd.</b>  <b>Scottish Power UK plc</b>  <b>ScottishPower Energy Management Ltd.</b>  <b>ScottishPower Generation Ltd.</b>  <b>ScottishPower Energy Retail Ltd</b>  <b>SP Manweb plc.</b>  <b>SP Transmission Ltd.</b>  James Nixon </p>	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>The Proposed Modification will impact systems and processes involved in the CoS process.</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?</p> <p><b>ScottishPower will seek to implement the proposed modification at the earliest opportunity assuming all other dependent changes are in place.</b></p>

<b>BGT</b> Claire Walsh	<p>What impact, if any, would the Proposed Modification have on your organisation?</p> <p><b>System &amp; Process Impacts</b></p> <p>What implementation timescale would you require to make the changes associated with the Proposed Modification?;</p> <p><b>We support implementation in quarter 1, 2006.</b></p>
----------------------------	--